UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,686	07/13/2001	Hiroyo Masuda	FUJY 18.847	5599
7590 01/22/2008 KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 575 MADISON AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			ADE, OGER GARCIA	
NEW YORK,, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/904,686	MASUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	GARCIA ADE	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 O</u>	ctober 2007.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 30th, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamanoto [US 6,078,663], and further in view of Ehlers et al. [US 6,216,956].

As per claims 1-7, Yamanoto discloses selecting when starting a packet communication as the communication service [see abstract (e.g. communication **device**) from a first accounting method, a second accounting method, and a third accounting method that are displayed on the terminal device [see abstract (e.g. accounting circuit for calculating), see summary of the invention (e.g. quantity of data to be enciphered), and see figure 9 (e.g. communication terminal that has a display device)] wherein the first accounting method is a method where an accounting quantity is calculated based on a number of sent/received packets on the packet communication [see figure 1, via computing unit (e.g. **block 20**)], the second accounting method is a method where an accounting quantity is calculated based on quantity of sent/received packets on the packet communication [see summary of the invention (e.g. number of *calculations* that are performed for enciphering, i.e., information, such as the quantity of data, the number of cryptographic keys and the *number of feedback calculations*)]; and the third accounting method is a method where an accounting quantity is calculated based on time of the packet communication [see summary of the invention (e.g. as for data in a large quantity, such as image data, for which high speed real-time is required)]; performing the packet communication between the terminal device and a network [see summary of the invention (e.g. provided is a cryptographic

communication system, which permits a plurality of terminals on a network to communicate enciphered data and selects an enciphering system)]; calculating during the packet communication, each of the accounting quantities corresponding to said at least two accounting methods with respect to the packet communication at the terminal device in parallel [see figure 1, via computing unit 20 (e.g. calculation amount)], and displaying calculation results of each of the accounting quantity of said at least two accounting methods on the terminal device [see figure 9 (e.g. communication terminal that has a display device)]; and selecting after finishing the packet communication, as an accounting method to be applied to the packet communication, one of said at least two accounting methods, based on the displayed accounting quantities [see summary of the invention (e.g. selection means for selecting an enciphering rate for the encipher transmission means)]. a default accounting method selected by a subscriber

Yamanoto does not explicitly disclose the step of outputting an alarm when at least one accounting quantity corresponding to one of said at least two accounting method reaches a predetermined threshold value. However, Ehlers discloses the step of outputting an alarm, when at least one accounting quantity corresponding to one of said at least two accounting method reaches a predetermined threshold value [via *process function 35* provides a system monitoring and *alarm process* (e.g. an intrusion alarm system via a communications channel)].

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yamanoto to include the step of

outputting an alarm. Such a modification would provide a mechanism for activating an alarm when the accounting quantity corresponding to a computation method when reaches a value.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed on October 30th, 2007 have been fully considered 5. but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Yamamoto does not teach selection of at least tow of the accounting methods. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Yamamoto discloses a communication device that comprises an enciphering transmitter for enciphering data and transmitting enciphered data, a method counter for obtaining a count of a quantity of enciphered data, and an accounting method circuit for calculating, in accordance with the count held by the counter, an amount to charge a user for the data [see abstract]. Yamanoto does not explicitly disclose an alarm when at least one accounting quantity corresponding to one of said at least two accounting method reaches a predetermined threshold value. However, Ehlers discloses an occupancy sensor or an interface with an occupancy knowledgeable device, such as an intrusion alarm system via a communications channel [see figure 3].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GARCIA ADE whose telephone number is (571)272-5586. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Florian Zeender can be reached on 571.272.6790. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to

the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

Garcia Ade Examiner

Art Unit 3627

ga

/Andrew Joseph Rudy/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3627